

**Market Design**  
**ECON 40041 (Spring 2025)**  
**Department of Economics • University of Notre Dame**

Instructor: Maciej H. Kotowski <mkotowsk@nd.edu>

Class Meetings: Monday & Wednesday 09:30–10:45 [DeBartolo Hall 217]

Instructor's Office Hours: Monday 11:00–12:30 [JNH 3016; scheduled online.]

Course Website: <<https://canvas.nd.edu/courses/106171>>

---

## 1. Overview

This course studies the theory and practice of market design, with particular focus on auctions, matching mechanisms, and platforms. Using the tools of microeconomics, game theory, and mechanism design, the course examines the operation of markets and the design of effective market rules. Key topics include spectrum and internet auctions, entry-level labor markets, school choice programs, and financial markets, among others.

### 1.1. Audience

This course is suitable for undergraduate students majoring in economics. Upper-year students in other quantitatively-oriented disciplines, such as computer science or mathematics, or students from the business school may also find the course interesting. While the course emphasizes economic content rather than minute technical details, mathematical arguments are employed often. Students with little or no mathematical training will likely be better served by delaying the course until they develop the proper skills.

### 1.2. Prerequisites

The most important prerequisites for the course are a willingness for critical and creative thinking, imagination, and some grit.

*Economics:* The formal prerequisite for the course is successfully completing a course in intermediate microeconomics. Some prior familiarity with game theory is helpful but is not required. Students who do not satisfy the formal prerequisite but who otherwise have a strong quantitative background (e.g., students majoring in engineering, mathematics, or computer science) may enroll in the course with the instructor's permission.

*Mathematics:* Basic algebra and high-school level calculus appear in the course. Otherwise, the course is mathematically self-contained. Skills in logical thinking and a willingness to follow mathematical proofs are essential.

## 2. Requirements and Grading

The course requirements include the completion of several problem sets, a midterm exam, and a research paper. Your grades on these tasks will be weighted as follows:

Problem Sets	15 %		
Midterm Exam	35 %		
Research Paper	50 %	}	Proposal 5 %
			Draft 10 %
			Final Paper 35 %
<hr/> Total	<hr/> 100 %		

Letter grades will be assigned based on your final weighted score.

### 2.1. Problem Sets

Problem sets are graded primarily for completion and only a “✓± / no credit” will be offered for feedback. You are responsible for consulting the suggested solutions on your own to verify your mastery of the material. Earning a “✓−” or better gives you full credit for the problem set. Sloppy, half-hearted, late, or incomplete work is unlikely to receive credit. Your lowest problem set grade will be dropped when calculating your course grade.

Unless noted otherwise, you may work in small groups (two or three students) on the problem sets. However, you must hand in independently written-up solutions. If you collaborate, identify other group members on your write-up. There is no need to type up your solutions, but sloppy work will not receive credit. Problem set due dates are the following:

<u>Problem Set</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Problem Set</u>	<u>Due Date</u>
1	January 29	4	March 5
2	February 5	5	March 19
3	February 17	6	April 23

### 2.2. Midterm Exam

There will be an in-class, closed-book midterm exam on March 26, 2025.

If you miss the midterm exam and your absence is excusable per university policy (e.g., a documented illness), a rescheduled exam will be arranged on a case-by-case basis. Unexcused absences will receive a grade of zero for this part of the course.

### 2.3. Research Paper

You must write a research paper on a topic related to the course. The approximate length is 4,000–5,000 words. See the course handout [01] Research Paper Instructions for details.

- A paper proposal is due at 18:00 EST on February 7, 2025.
- A preliminary draft of at least 1,000 words is due at 18:00 EDT on April 4, 2025.
- The final paper is due at 09:30 EDT on April 30, 2025.

## 3. Readings

All required readings are accessible online through the University library.

There is no official or required textbook for the course. The textbook

- [Haeringer] *Market Design: Auctions and Matching* by Guillaume Haeringer (MIT Press, 2018)

covers many of the topics on the syllabus. Among all books for the course, this one checks the most boxes. This book is sometimes less advanced than the class material.

For many lectures, there are supplemental readings from other books. Sometimes, these books are more advanced than the class material.

- [Bichler] *Market Design: A Linear Programming Approach to Auctions and Matching* by Martin Bichler (Cambridge University Press, 2017).
- [Klemperer] *Auctions: Theory and Practice* by Paul Klemperer (Princeton University Press, 2004).
- [Krishna] *Auction Theory* by Vijay Krishna (Academic Press, 2002/2009).
- [Milgrom] *Putting Auction Theory to Work* by Paul Milgrom (Cambridge University Press, 2004).
- [Roth and Sotomayor] *Two-Sided Matching: A Study of Game-Theoretic Modeling and Analysis* by Alvin E. Roth and Marilda A. Oliveira Sotomayor (Cambridge University Press, 1990).

Among the above titles, Krishna (2009) is the most useful book for the auction theory portion of the course. Roth and Sotomayor (1990) is the most useful book for the matching theory portion of the course. Bichler (2017) covers auction and matching theory. Bichler (2017) and Klemperer (2004) can be freely accessed online via the Notre Dame library.

There are several popular books that qualify as course-related leisure reading. The following books are informative, readable, and less technical than the course material.

- [Roth] *Who Gets What—And Why: The New Economics of Matchmaking and Market Design* by Alvin E. Roth (Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2015).
- [Fisman and Sullivan] *The Inner Lives of Markets: How People Shape Them-And They Shape Us* by Ray Fisman and Tim Sullivan (PublicAffairs, 2016).
- [Evans and Schmalensee] *Matchmakers: The New Economics of Multisided Platforms* by David Evans and Richard Schmalensee (HBR Press, 2016).
- [Hubbard and Paarsch] *Auctions* by Timothy P. Hubbard and Harry J. Paarsch (MIT Press Essential Knowledge Series, 2016).

#### 4. Audio/Video Recordings

I kindly ask you to not make personal audio or video recordings of the lectures.

#### 5. Contingency Planning

Events beyond the instructor's control may affect the course. The following principles will guide this course's response and adaptation in such cases.

1. Please follow the university's health and safety guidance when on campus.
2. If in-person instruction is disrupted, be prepared to switch to dual-mode or remote instruction if mandated by the university.
3. Except for the in-class exam, all class work will be submitted online. This ensures you can submit assignments even if you are absent. Submitting work online will require you to upload a \*.pdf file. Please ensure you are familiar how to do this.
4. In exceptional cases of a prolonged absence or a disruption to in-person instruction, take-home exams may be substituted for any in-class exams at the instructor's discretion.

## 6. Advice

1. Understand and follow the university's Academic Honor Code.
2. Exam questions will resemble problem set questions.
3. Look beyond the assigned class material for more practice problems.
4. Scan or photocopy your problem set answers before submitting them.
5. Read the assigned readings twice—before *and* after lecture.
6. If pressed for time, practice solving problems in lieu of memorizing an assigned reading.
7. Please inform the instructor of typos and suspected mistakes in course materials.
8. Please ask questions in class. Illuminating digressions are exciting.
9. Please make use of office hours. Even if you have no specific questions about the course material, please feel welcome to visit, chat, ask questions, or simply say hello.

## 7. Credits and Acknowledgements

In preparing this course I have drawn on the course materials, lectures, research papers, and presentations of many scholars and colleagues. I have also benefited from informal discussions with many of them. In addition to sources on the reading list, the following influenced this course's curriculum, content, and exposition.

- Course syllabi and/or online course materials from similar courses at other institutions, including those by Peter Cramton, Ettore Damiano, Guillaume Haeringer, Fuhito Kojima, Scott Kominers, Paul Milgrom, Daniel Quint, Al Roth, and others.
- My personal and class notes from the course “Auction Theory” taught by Takashi Kunimoto (McGill University, 2006) and from game theory and information economics courses taught by Ben Hermalin, Shachar Kariv, Botond Kőszegi, and Matthew Rabin (University of California, Berkeley, 2006–9).
- Feedback and comments from students in prior iterations of this course.

I have also benefited from many conversations with Chris Avery, Ivan Balbuzanov, Sangram Kadam, Alex Teytelboym, and Richard Zeckhauser relating to this course's topics and subject matter.

## 8. Course Calendar and Reading List

The calendar may be adjusted depending on our progress. You are expected to read essential and required readings. Classic and supplemental readings are optional, but lecture content often draws upon these sources.

### Key

- *essential reading* — something you must read, period.
- *required reading* — something you should read to appreciate the lecture.
- *classic reading* — classic books or important papers; read if you're keen.
- *supplemental reading* — consult for more information, background, or interest.

### [1] **January 13** / Introduction.

- Gale, D., and L. S. Shapley. 1962. College Admissions and the Stability of Marriage. *American Mathematical Monthly* 69(1):9–15.
- Bichler, Chapter 1.
- Eisenmann, T. R., and S. D. Kominers. 2018. Making Markets. Harvard Business School Technical Note 818-096.
- Haeringer, Chapter 1.
- Roth, A. E. 2018. Marketplaces, Markets, and Market Design. *American Economic Review* 108(7):1609–1658.

### [2] **January 15** / Technical Essentials 1: Games with Perfect Information.

- Handout [03] Essential Game Theory, Sections 1–4, Appendix A.
- Bichler, Chapter 2.
- Haeringer, Appendices A.1–A.3.
- Krishna, Appendix F.

**January 20** / Martin Luther King Jr. Day / No Lecture.

### [3] **January 22** / Auctions 1: Introduction to Auctions.

[4] **January 27** / Technical Essentials 2: Probability Theory.

- Handout [04] Essential Probability Theory.
- Haeringer, Appendices C.1–C.4.
- Krishna, Appendices A–C.

[5] **January 29** / Technical Essentials 3: Games with Incomplete Information.

- Handout [03] Essential Game Theory, Sections 5–6.
- Bichler, Chapter 2.
- Haeringer, Appendix A.4.
- Krishna, Appendix F.

[6] **February 3** / Auctions 2: The Independent Private Values (IPV) Model.

- Handout [05] Essential Order Statistics
- Vickrey, W. 1961. Counterspeculation, Auctions, and Competitive Sealed Tenders. *Journal of Finance* 16(1):8–37.
- Bichler, Chapter 4.1–4.3.
- Haeringer, Chapter 2.
- Klemperer, Chapter 1.
- Krishna, Chapter 2.
- Matthews, S. A. 1995. A Technical Primer on Auction Theory I: Independent Private Values. Northwestern University Discussion Paper No. 1096.  
<<https://www.sas.upenn.edu/~stevenma/Papers/aucprim.pdf>>

[7] **February 5** / Auctions 3: The IPV Model and Auction Revenue.

- Bichler, Chapter 4.4.
- Haeringer, Chapter 2.
- Krishna, Chapter 3.
- Klemperer, Chapter 1 (Appendix 1.A).
- Milgrom, Chapter 4.

[8] **February 10** / Auctions 4: Optimal Auctions & Mechanism Design.

- Bulow, J., and J. Roberts. 1989. The Simple Economics of Optimal Auctions. *Journal of Political Economy* 97(5):1060–1090
- Myerson, R. B. 1981. Optimal Auction Design. *Mathematics of Operations Research* 6(1):58–73.
- Riley, J. G., and W. F. Samuelson. 1981. Optimal Auctions. *American Economic Review* 71(3):381–392.
- Bichler, Chapter 4.7.
- Klemperer, Chapter 1 (Appendix 1.B); Part C.
- Kotowski, M. H. 2018. On Asymmetric Reserve Prices. *Theoretical Economics* 13(1):205–238.
- Krishna, Chapter 5.
- Loertscher, S., L. M. Marx, and T. Wilkening. 2015. A Long Way Coming: Designing Centralized Markets with Privately Informed Buyers and Sellers. *Journal of Economic Literature* 53(4):857–897.
- Milgrom, Chapter 4.

[9] **February 12** / Auctions 5: Optimal Auctions & Mechanism Design (con't).

[10] **February 17** / Auctions 6: Optimal Auctions & Mechanism Design (con't).

[11] **February 19** / Auctions 7: The Vickrey-Clarke-Groves (VCG) Mechanism.

- Ausubel, L. M., and P. Milgrom. 2005. The Lovely but Lonely Vickrey Auction. In *Combinatorial Auctions*. Edited by P. Cramton, Y. Shoham, and R. Steinberg. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Haeringer, Chapter 4.
- Krishna, Chapter 16.
- Lucking-Reiley, D. 2000. Vickrey Auctions in Practice: From Nineteenth-Century Philately to Twenty-First-Century E-Commerce. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 14(3):183–192.
- Milgrom, Chapters 2 and 8.



[12] **February 24** / Auctions 8: Position Auctions and Internet Advertisements.

- Varian, H. R. 2009. Online Ad Auctions. *American Economic Review: Papers & Proceedings* 99(2):430–434.
- Cowen, T. “Hal Varian on Taking the Academic Approach to Business.” *Conversations with Tyler*. Audio File [56:32]. June 19, 2019. <<https://bit.ly/3gH9ITU>>
- Edelman, B., M. Ostrovsky, and M. Schwarz. 2007. Internet Advertising and the Generalized Second-Price Auction: Selling Billions of Dollars Worth of Keywords. *American Economic Review* 97(1):242–259.
- Varian, H. R. 2007. Position Auctions. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 25(6):1163–1178.
- Haeringer, Chapter 5.
- Krishna, Chapter 17.

[13] **February 26** / Matching Markets 1: The “Marriage Market” Model.

- Gale, D., and L. S. Shapley. 1962. College Admissions and the Stability of Marriage. *American Mathematical Monthly* 69(1):9–15.
- Roth and Sotomayor, Chapters 2 and 3.
- Bichler, Chapter 11.2.
- Haeringer, Chapter 5.

[14] **March 3** / Matching Markets 2: The “Marriage Market” Model (con’t).

[15] **March 5** / Matching Markets 3: The “Marriage Market” Model (con’t).

**March 10** / Spring Break / No Lecture.

**March 12** / Spring Break / No Lecture.

[16] **March 17** / Matching Markets 4: The “College Admissions” Model.

- Roth and Sotomayor, Chapter 5.
- Roth, A. E. 1985. The College Admissions Problem is Not Equivalent to the Marriage Problem. *Journal of Economic Theory* 36(2):277–288.

[17] **March 19** / Matching Markets 5: The “College Admissions” Model (con’t).

[18] **March 24** / Application: The National Resident Matching Program.

- National Resident Matching Program. “How the NRMP Matching Algorithm Works.” *YouTube*. Video File [4:51]. October 17, 2017. <<https://youtu.be/kvgfgGmemdA>>
- Roth, A. E., and E. Peranson. 1999. The Redesign of the Matching Market for American Physicians: Some Engineering Aspects of Economic Design. *American Economic Review* 89(4):748–780.
- Haeringer, Chapter 10.
- Roth, A. E. 1984. The Evolution of the Labor Market for Medical Interns and Residents: A Case Study in Game Theory. *Journal of Political Economy* 92(6):991–1016.
- Roth, A. E. 1986. On the Allocation of Residents to Rural Hospitals: A General Property of Two-Sided Matching Markets. *Econometrica* 54(2):425–427.
- Roth, A. E. 2003. The Origins, History, and Design of the Resident Match. *JAMA* 289(7):909–912.

[19] **March 26** / Midterm Exam.

The exam is closed-book. Non-graphing/non-programmable calculators are permitted.

[20] **March 31** / Exchange Mechanisms 1: Trading Cycles.

- Shapley, L. S., and H. Scarf. 1974. On Cores and Indivisibility. *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 1(1):23–37.
- Abdulkadiroğlu, A., and T. Sönmez. 1999. House Allocation with Existing Tenants. *Journal of Economic Theory* 88(2):233–260.
- Balbuzanov, I., and M. H. Kotowski. 2019. Endowments, Exclusion, and Exchange. *Econometrica* 87(5):1663–1692.
- Balbuzanov, I., and M. H. Kotowski. 2021. Economies with Complex Property Rights: The Role of Exclusion. *ACM SIGecom Exchanges* 19(1):30–44.
- Haeringer, Chapter 11.

[21] **April 2** / Exchange Mechanisms 2: Trading Cycles (con’t).

[22] **April 7** / Application: Transplant Organs.

- National Academy of Science. “The Matchmaker: An Economist Tackles Kidney Exchange.” *YouTube*. Video File [5:51]. November 30, 2016.  
<<https://youtu.be/TJio37Fo0BQ>>
- Roth, A. E., T. Sönmez, and M. U. Ünver. 2004. Kidney Exchange. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119(2):457–488.
- Haeringer, Chapters 16.

[23] **April 9** / Application: School Assignment Mechanisms.

- Abdulkadiroğlu, A., and T. Sönmez. 2003. School Choice: A Mechanism Design Approach. *American Economic Review* 93(3):729–747.
- Haeringer, Chapters 13 and 14.

[24] **April 11** / Make-up Lecture / Application: Auctions of Radio Spectrum.

This is a make-up class for the cancelled class meeting on April 16, 2025. It is tentatively scheduled for 9:30–10:45. Location: TBA.

- McMillan, J. 1994. Selling Spectrum Rights. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 8(3):145–162.
- Leyton-Brown, K., P. Milgrom and I. Segal. 2017. Economics and Computer Science of a Radio Spectrum Reallocation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114(28):7202–7209.
- Coase, R. H. 1959. The Federal Communications Commission. *Journal of Law and Economics* 2:1–40.
- Haeringer, Chapter 6.
- Klemperer, Part D.
- Milgrom, P., and I. Segal. 2020. Clock Auctions and Radio Spectrum Reallocation.” *Journal of Political Economy* 128(1):1–31.
- Milgrom, P. 2017. *Discovering Prices: Auction Design in Markets with Complex Constraints*. New York: Columbia University Press.

[25] **April 14** / Application: Electricity Markets.

- Cramton, P. 2017. Electricity Market Design. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 33(4):589–612.
- Wilson, R. 2002. Architecture of Power Markets. *Econometrica* 70(4):1299–1340.

**April 16** / No Lecture.

**April 21** / Easter Monday / No Lecture.

[26] **April 23** / Application: Feeding America.

- Prendergast, C. 2017. How Food Banks Use Markets to Feed the Poor. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 31(4):145–162.
- Hylland, A., and R. Zeckhauser. 1979. The Efficient Allocation of Individuals to Positions. *Journal of Political Economy* 87(2):293–314.
- Prendergast, C. 2022. The Allocation of Food to Food Banks. *Journal of Political Economy* 130(8):1993–2017.
- Haeringer, Chapter 1.

[27] **April 28** / Application: Bitcoin, Blockchain, and Cryptocurrencies.

- 3Blue1Brown. “But how does bitcoin actually work?” *YouTube*. Video File [26:20]. July 7, 2017. <<https://youtu.be/bBC-nXj3Ng4>>
- Budish, E. 2024. Trust at Scale: The Economic Limits of Cryptocurrencies and Blockchains. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*. <<https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjae033>>
- Halaburda, H., G. Haeringer, J. Gans, and N. Gandal. 2022. The Microeconomics of Cryptocurrencies. *Journal of Economic Literature* 60(3):971–1013.
- Leshno, J. D., R. Pass, and E. Shi. 2024. On the Viability of Open-Source Financial Rails: Economic Security of Permissionless Consensus. Mimeo.

[28] **April 30** / Platforms and Reputation Systems / Course Wrap-Up.

- Resnick, P., R. Zeckhauser, E. Friedman, and K. Kuwabara. 2000. Reputation systems. *Communications of the ACM* 43(12):45–48.
- Tadelis, S. 2016. Reputation and Feedback Systems in Online Platform Markets. *Annual Review of Economics* 8:321–40.
- Einav, L., C. Farronato, and J. Levin. 2016. Peer-to-Peer Markets. *Annual Review of Economics* 8:615–35.